

# Demography and Natural Selection Have Shaped Genetic Variation in the Widely Distributed Conifer Norway Spruce (*Picea abies*)

Xi Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Carolina Bernhardtsson<sup>1,2,3</sup>, and Pär K. Ingvarsson <sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Umeå Plant Science Centre, Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, Umeå University, Sweden

<sup>2</sup>Linnean Centre for Plant Biology, Department of Plant Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Present address: Department of Organismal Biology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: par.ingvarsson@slu.se.

Accepted: January 14, 2020

Data deposition: This project has been deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive under study number PRJEB34927.

## Abstract

Under the neutral theory, species with larger effective population size are expected to harbor higher genetic diversity. However, across a wide variety of organisms, the range of genetic diversity is orders of magnitude more narrow than the range of effective population size. This observation has become known as Lewontin's paradox and although aspects of this phenomenon have been extensively studied, the underlying causes for the paradox remain unclear. Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) is a widely distributed conifer species across the northern hemisphere, and it consequently plays a major role in European forestry. Here, we use whole-genome resequencing data from 35 individuals to perform population genomic analyses in *P. abies* in an effort to understand what drives genome-wide patterns of variation in this species. Despite having a very wide geographic distribution and an enormous current population size, our analyses find that genetic diversity of *P. abies* is low across a number of populations ( $\pi = 0.0049$  in Central-Europe,  $\pi = 0.0063$  in Sweden-Norway,  $\pi = 0.0063$  in Finland). To assess the reasons for the low levels of genetic diversity, we infer the demographic history of the species and find that it is characterized by several reoccurring bottlenecks with concomitant decreases in effective population size can, at least partly, provide an explanation for low polymorphism we observe in *P. abies*. Further analyses suggest that recurrent natural selection, both purifying and positive selection, can also contribute to the loss of genetic diversity in Norway spruce by reducing genetic diversity at linked sites. Finally, the overall low mutation rates seen in conifers can also help explain the low genetic diversity maintained in Norway spruce.

**Key words:** genetic diversity, Lewontin's paradox, whole-genome resequencing, demographic, linked selection, mutation rate.

## Introduction

Explaining the distribution of genetic diversity within and between species is one of the major goals of evolutionary biology (Begun et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2016). This question has several important applications (Ellegren and Galtier 2016) including the conservation of endangered species (Forcada and Hoffman 2014), and development of breeding strategies in crops (Khodadadi et al. 2011) and farm animals (Edea et al. 2015). Ultimately, genetic diversity reflects the balance between the appearance and disappearance of unique variants. Spontaneous mutation gives rise to new variants and under

the neutral theory, the ultimate loss or fixation of these new variants is governed by genetic drift (Kimura 1983). Therefore, species with larger effective populations are expected to harbor higher genetic diversity due to a greater influx of mutations and smaller effects of genetic drift. However, observations suggest that the range of genetic diversity among different organisms is orders of magnitude more narrow than the corresponding range of effective population sizes. For instance, in a comparison of 167 species, Leffler et al. (2012) observed that nucleotide diversity varied 800-fold, from 0.01% per base pair in *Lynx lynx* to 8.01% in

*Ciona savignyi*, but that this is likely many orders of magnitude smaller than corresponding variation in expected census population sizes among the different organisms. This long-standing issue in evolutionary biology is known as “Lewontin’s paradox” (Lewontin 1974; Leffler et al. 2012).

One potential explanation to Lewontin’s paradox is the influence from demographic fluctuations (Ellegren and Galtier 2016) as a consequence of various historical events, such as glacial periods (Leite et al. 2016), geological events such as the uplift of Tibetan mountain systems (Yan et al. 2013), or human interventions, such as domestication or habitat loss due to human population expansions (Qiu et al. 2015). Temporary but severe reductions in population size (bottlenecks), for example, can result in a substantial loss of genetic diversity due to enhanced genetic drift and reduced efficacy of natural selection (Jangjoo et al. 2016). Reduced genetic diversity due to bottlenecks has already been identified in many plants, such as sunflower (Liu and Burke 2006), rice (Zhu et al. 2007), and Scots pine (Pyhäjärvi et al. 2007). Another possible explanation for Lewontin’s paradox is natural selection which reduces levels of neutral polymorphism at sites linked to beneficial mutations under positive selection (“hitchhiking”) (Smith and Haigh 1974) and/or sites under purifying selection acting on deleterious mutations (“background selection”) (Charlesworth et al. 1995; Corbett-Detig et al. 2015). If natural selection is pervasive across the genome of an organism, such linked selection can result in severe reductions of the genome-wide average nucleotide diversity. By comparing whole-genome polymorphism data with data on recombination rates derived from genetic maps, Corbett-Detig et al. (2015) provided direct empirical evidence that natural selection constrains levels of neutral genetic diversity across a wide range of taxonomically diverse species (15 plants, 6 insects, 2 nematodes, 3 birds, 5 fishes, and 9 mammals). A hallmark signature of such linked selection is a positive correlation between recombination rate and neutral diversity, as the influence of linkage is expected to be stronger, and will hence remove more neutral polymorphisms, in regions of low compared with high recombination. Such a pattern is unlikely to arise from demographic processes alone (Cutter and Payseur 2013; Corbett-Detig et al. 2015; Ellegren and Galtier 2016; Wang et al. 2016). Reductions in nucleotide diversity associated with selection may also be apparent in regions where the density of selected mutations is high, because such regions are expected to have undergone stronger effects of linked selection (Flowers et al. 2012; Cutter and Payseur 2013; Ellegren and Galtier 2016). Assuming that genes represent the most likely targets of natural selection, linked selection should be stronger in gene dense regions, resulting in an expectation of a negative correlation between gene density and neutral diversity. However, spurious results can be generated when two explanatory variables covary but are analyzed separately and a positive or negative covariation between recombination rate and gene density is thus

expected to either obscure or strengthen the signatures of linked selection across the genome (Flowers et al. 2012; Ellegren and Galtier 2016). Finally, the “drift-barrier” hypothesis states that species with larger populations tend to have lower mutation rates (Lynch et al. 2016). As most spontaneous mutations are likely deleterious, selection should favor lower mutation rates (Xu et al. 2019). Natural selection should also have a stronger effect in larger populations because genetic drift in smaller population overrides the effect of natural selection (Xu et al. 2019) and this thus provides yet another explanation for Lewontin’s paradox.

With the advent of next-generation sequencing technologies, unprecedented amounts of genomic data from nonmodel organism with large populations have made it possible to study the factors contributing to Lewontin’s paradox. Conifers are the most widely distributed group of gymnosperms and are estimated to cover ~39% of the world’s forests (De La Torre et al. 2014). Conifers are characterized by an outbreeding mating system with wind-dispersed seeds and pollen, large effective population sizes, and extremely large genomes (20–30 Gb) which contain a large fraction of repetitive DNA, mostly in the form of transposable elements (Nystedt et al. 2013). Estimates of nucleotide diversity in conifers reported so far are surprisingly low, given their life-history traits and widespread distribution ( $\pi = 0.0024$ – $0.0082$  in four conifers from Alpine European forests, *Abies alba*, *Larix decidua*, *Pinus cembra*, *Pinus mugo*, Mosca et al. 2012;  $\pi = 0.0045$  in *Cryptomeria japonica*, Uchiyama et al. 2012;  $\pi = 0.00132$  in *Pinus elliotii* and  $\pi = 0.00136$  in *Pinus taeda*, Acosta et al. 2019). Conifers are thus intriguing organisms for understanding the factors that contribute to low nucleotide diversity and how this can help explain Lewontin’s paradox. Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) is one of the most important ecological and economical conifers and has wide natural distribution that range from the west coast of Norway to the Ural mountains and across the Alps, Carpathians, and the Balkans in Central-Europe (Farjon 1990; Bernhardsson et al. 2019). Earlier studies of nucleotide diversity in Norway spruce have thus far either been limited to genes or coding regions (e.g.,  $\pi = 0.0021$ , Heuertz et al. 2006) or to data generated by targeted exome capture sequencing (e.g.,  $\pi = 0.005$ , Bernhardsson et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2019) which only covers between 1% and 2% of a typical eukaryote genome (Warr et al. 2015). However, the recent publication of a reference genome for Norway spruce (Nystedt et al. 2013) has opened up possibilities for whole-genome resequencing in this species and thus makes it possible to assess genome-wide levels of nucleotide diversity. The current *P. abies* genome assembly (v1.0) covers ~60% of the total genome size (12 Gb out of the 20 Gb), consists of >10 million scaffolds, and contains 70,736 annotated gene models of which 66,632 are at least partially validated by supporting evidence (ESTs or UniProt proteins) (Nystedt et al. 2013; De La Torre et al. 2014; Bernhardsson et al. 2019). Here, we use this resource together with data

generated from whole-genome resequencing in a set of tree samples spanning the distribution range of *P. abies* and study how patterns of nucleotide diversity vary across the genome in Norway spruce.

## Materials and Methods

### Sample Collection and DNA Sequencing

We sampled 35 individuals of Norway spruce (*P. abies*) spanning the natural distribution of the species, extending from Russia and Finland in the east to Sweden and Norway in the west and Belarus, Poland, and Romania in the south (supplementary table S1 and fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Samples were collected from newly emerged needles or dormant buds and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until DNA extraction. For all samples, genomic DNA was extracted using a Qiagen plant mini kit following manufacturer's instructions. All sequencing was performed at the National Genomics Initiative platform at SciLifeLab facilities in Stockholm, Sweden, using paired-end libraries with an insert size of 500 bp. Sequencing was performed using a number of different Illumina HiSeq platforms (HiSeq 2000 and HiSeq X). The original location, platform used, and estimated coverage from raw sequencing reads and of BAM files after mapping are given for all individuals in supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online.

### Data Subsets, Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism Calling, and Hard Filtering

Raw sequence reads were mapped to the complete *P. abies* reference genome v.1.0 (Nystedt et al. 2013) using BWA-MEM with default settings in bwa v0.7.15 (<http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net/bwa.shtml>, last accessed January 29, 2020; Li 2013). The Norway spruce genome has an extremely large genome size ( $\sim 20$  Gb) and very large repetitive fraction ( $\sim 70\%$ ), and analyzing genome-wide sequencing data from such massive genomes using a highly fragmented genome assembly ( $\sim 10$  M unique scaffolds) is difficult using existing software. In particular, limiting variable is the number of genomic scaffolds which is far greater than what existing software can handle. In order to efficiently analyze the data, we therefore performed several steps to reduce the computational complexity of the single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) calling process by subsetting data sets into a number of smaller data sets. The smaller subsets enabled us to curate the data in parallel and were crucial for enabling software tools, such as GATK, to function properly.

Following read mapping against the entire reference genome, we reduced the reference genome by only keeping genomic scaffolds  $>1$  kb in length using bioawk (<https://github.com/lh3/bioawk>, last accessed January 29, 2020). Scaffolds shorter than 1 kb were removed because they generally contain few variable sites and hence do not produce reliable estimates of recombination rates (see below). All BAM

files were then subsetted using the reduced reference genome with the "view" module in samtools v1.5 (<http://www.htslib.org/>, last accessed January 29, 2020; Li et al. 2009). For each individual, reduced BAM files resulting from different sequencing flow cells were merged into a single BAM file using the "merge" module in samtools and was then split using samtools "view" into 20 independent subsets, where each subsets contain roughly 100,000 scaffolds. The reduced reference genome was simultaneously subdivided into the corresponding 20 genomic subsets by keeping the corresponding scaffolds using bedtools v2.26.0 (Quinlan and Hall 2010). The 20 BAM subsets for each individual and 20 reference subsets were finally indexed to make them available for subsequent data processing.

Before SNP calling, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) duplicates were marked in all data subsets using MarkDuplicates in Picard v2.0.1 (<http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/>, last accessed January 29, 2020) to eliminate artifacts introduced due to DNA amplification by PCR, which could potentially lead to excessively high read depth in some regions. If not addressed, this could bias the number of variants called and may substantially influence the accuracy of the variant detection (Mielczarek and Szyda 2016). Artifacts in alignment, usually occurring in regions with insertions and/or deletions (indels) during the mapping step, can result in many mismatching bases relative to the reference in regions of misalignment. Local realignment was thus performed to minimize such mismatching bases by first detecting suspicious intervals using RealignerTargetCreator, followed by realignment of those intervals using IndelRealigner, both implemented in GATK v3.7 (DePristo et al. 2011). Finally, we performed SNP calling using GATK HaplotypeCaller to generate intermediate genomic VCFs (gVCFs) on a per-subset and per-sample basis (20 gVCFs produced for each individual). These gVCF files were then used for joint calling across all 35 samples using the GenotypeGVCFs module in GATK. The SNP calling pipeline produced 20 VCF files where each file contains variants from all 35 individuals for the corresponding subsets.

In order to remove potential false positives in raw variant calls, we performed hard filtering for each of the 20 genomic subset VCF files using the following steps: 1) We only included biallelic SNPs positioned  $>5$  bp away from an indel and where the SNP quality parameters fulfilled GATK recommendations for hard filtering (<https://gatkforums.broadinstitute.org/gatk/discussion/2806/howto-apply-hard-filters-to-a-call-set>, last accessed January 29, 2020). 2) In order to reduce the impact from reads mapping to repetitive regions that may be collapsed in the reference genome, we recoded genotype calls with a depth outside the range 6–30 and a GQ  $< 15$  to missing data and filtered each SNP for being variable with an overall average depth in the range of 8–20 and a "maximum missing" value of 0.8 (max 20% missing data). 3) We removed all SNPs that displayed a *P* value for excess of

heterozygosity  $<0.05$ , as SNPs called in collapsed regions in the assembly, likely containing nonunique regions in the genome, should show excess heterozygosities as they are based on reads that are derived from different genomic regions. For more detailed information on the genotype calling pipeline and the hard filtering criteria, please refer to Bernhardtsson et al. (2020). All SNPs that passed the different hard filtering criteria were used in downstream analyses.

### Analysis of Relatedness and Population Structure

We used the `relatedness2` option in `vcftools` (Danecek et al. 2011) to estimate genetic relatedness between all individuals based on the SNP data. This option implements an algorithm for relatedness inference for any pair of individuals that also allows for the presence of unknown population substructure (Manichaikul et al. 2010). During these analyses, two individuals, sampled from the same population in northern Sweden, were identified as being half-sibs, and we therefore removed one individual from the pair (Pab034) (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online) from subsequent analyses.

To investigate population structure, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) on kinship matrix. We first calculated the pairwise relatedness matrix for all SNPs following the method described in Yang et al. (2010) using `vcftools`. PCA analyses were then performed on the resulting kinship matrix using the “`prcomp`” function in R v3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017). A dendrogram was further built using Ward’s hierarchical clustering method (Ward 1963; Murtagh and Legendre 2014) as implemented in the “`hclust`” function in R v3.3.3 with the pairwise relatedness matrix as input. *Picea obovata* (individual Pab001), alternately described as a subspecies (Krutovskii and Bergmann 1995) or sister species (Tsuda et al 2016) of *P. abies*, was used as outgroup in the analyses.

### Estimation of Nucleotide Diversity

We used ANGSD v0.921 (Korneliussen et al. 2014) to estimate nucleotide diversity separately for all Norway spruce populations. We first used module “`dosaf 1`” to calculate the site allele frequency likelihood based on normalized phred-scaled likelihoods of the possible genotypes (PL tag in VCF file). The global folded site frequency spectrum (SFS) was then calculated using “`realSFS`” based on the expectation maximization algorithm (Nielsen et al. 2012). We then ran the function “`doThetas 1`” to calculate thetas for each site from the posterior probability of allele frequency (global folded SFS) based on a maximum likelihood approach (Kim et al. 2011). From the per site thetas, pairwise theta (tP) per scaffold was calculated by “`ThetaStat`.” Pairwise nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) (Tajima 1989) per scaffold was further calculated by dividing the estimate of tP per scaffold by the length of the scaffold.

We repeated the analyses to estimate pairwise nucleotide diversity at different categories of functional sites: 4-fold synonymous sites, 0-fold nonsynonymous sites, introns, and intergenic sites. Bed files for these different genomic regions were generated from the genome annotation for *P. abies* v1.0 (available from [ftp://plantgenie.org/Data/ConGenIE/Picea\\_abies/v1.0/GFF3/](ftp://plantgenie.org/Data/ConGenIE/Picea_abies/v1.0/GFF3/), last accessed January 29, 2020) using a custom-made python script (<https://github.com/parkingvarson/Degeneracy/>, last accessed January 29, 2020). Separate VCF files were then generated for the different site categories from the original VCF files based on the genomic bed files using `vcftools`. The diversity at different functional sites was then calculated using tP per scaffold for both nonsynonymous (0-fold) and synonymous (4-fold) sites. The values were scaled by dividing by the number of sites available in each functional category per scaffold. Similarly, for the intronic and intergenic sites, we calculated tP per scaffold and scaled the values by dividing by the number of intronic or intergenic sites per scaffold. When computing the global SFS for all SNPs and for intergenic variants in the Sweden-Norway population, we randomly downsampled the number of SNPs to 50% to reduce the computational cost and enable ANGSD to perform analyses.

### Demographic History Inference

The demographic history was also inferred based on all SNPs using a coalescent simulation-based method implemented in `fastsimcoal2` v2.6.0.3 (Excoffier et al. 2013). We estimated the folded two-dimensional joint site frequency spectrum (2D SFS [https://github.com/wk8910/bio\\_tools/tree/master/01\\_dadi\\_fsc](https://github.com/wk8910/bio_tools/tree/master/01_dadi_fsc), last accessed January 29, 2020) to minimize potential bias arising when determining ancestral allelic states using a custom-made perl script. Eight plausible demographic models were then tested. All models included three current-day populations that were derived from a common ancestral population that experienced an ancient population bottleneck. Following the bottleneck, the Central-Europe population was assumed to diverge from the ancestral population, followed by the divergence between of the Finnish and Swedish/Norwegian populations (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). The models differed depending on population sizes following divergence and whether individual populations went through further bottlenecks. For each model, we ran 50 independent runs to calculate global maximization likelihood, with 100,000 coalescent simulations per likelihood estimation ( $-n10000$ ) and 20 conditional maximization algorithm cycles ( $-L20$ ). The best model was chosen using Akaike’s weight of evidence as described in Excoffier et al. (2013). To obtain the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the best model, we generated 100 parametric bootstraps based on the maximum likelihood parameters estimated in best model and ran 50 independent runs for each bootstrap using



the same settings as for the analyses of the original data set. A mutation rate of  $8.0 \times 10^{-10}$  per site per year and a generation time of 25 years was used for *P. abies* (De La Torre et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019) to convert model estimates from coalescence units to absolute values (i.e., years).

### Correlation between Genomic Features: Nucleotide Diversity, Divergence, Recombination Rate, Gene Density, GC Density, and Repeat Density

In order to understand the factors determining variation in genetic diversity across the *P. abies* genome, we estimated correlations between nucleotide diversity at different functional sites (4-fold, 0-fold, introns, and intergenic) of each population with different genomic features. The population-scaled recombination rate ( $\rho = 4N_e r$ ) was estimated per scaffold for each population using a Bayesian reversible-jump Markov Chain Monte Carlo scheme under the crossing-over model as implemented in LDhat v2.2 (McVean et al. 2004). We performed 1,000,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo iterations with sampling every 2,000 iterations and set up a block penalty parameter of 5 using a data set consisting of only scaffolds longer than 5 kb because short scaffolds generally did not produce stable estimates. The first 100,000 iterations of the reversible-jump Markov Chain Monte Carlo scheme were excluded as a burn-in. We measured gene density per scaffold as the ratio of sites falling within a gene model on the scaffold to the overall length of the scaffold. The same method was used to estimate repeat density using information on repeat content per scaffold ([http://plantgenie.org/Data/ConGenIE/Picea\\_abies/v1.0/GFF3/Repeats/](http://plantgenie.org/Data/ConGenIE/Picea_abies/v1.0/GFF3/Repeats/), last accessed January 29, 2020). GC density was calculated at the scaffold level as the fraction of bases where the reference sequence (*P. abies* genome v1.0) was a G or a C using bedtools. Divergence was calculated between each population of Norway spruce with outgroup at 4-fold, 0-fold, intronic, and intergenic sites by measuring the number of fixed differences per scaffold. Pairwise correlations between the variables of interest were calculated using Spearman's rank correlations and by linear regression in Rstudio.


## Results and Discussion

Whole-genome resequencing data were generated for 35 individuals sampled to span the natural distribution of *P. abies* using Illumina HiSeq 2000/X with a mean sequence coverage of  $18.1\times$  per individual (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Raw reads were mapped to the whole-genome assembly v1.0 of Norway spruce, which contain  $\sim 10$  million scaffolds covering 12.6 Gb out of the estimated genome size of  $\sim 20$  Gb (Nystedt et al. 2013). BAM files were reduced to include only scaffolds longer than 1 kb ( $\sim 2$  million scaffolds covering 9.5 Gb of the genome). For each individual, all reduced BAM files were

merged into a single BAM file and then were subdivided into 20 genomic subsets with  $\sim 100,000$  scaffolds in each. These genomic subsets covered lengths ranging from 159.1 Mb (subset 12) to 2,654.8 Mb (subset 1) with an average scaffold length of 4.8 kb (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). After read mapping, we successively performed PCR duplication removal, local realignment, and finally variant calling resulting in 20 raw VCF files containing a total of 749.6 million variants of which 709.5 million were SNPs (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). A series of stringent filtering criteria were implemented on the raw variant calls to identify high-quality SNPs (see "Materials and Methods" section for details). Following filtration, 293.9 million SNPs remained for all downstream analyses. These SNPs were distributed over 63.2% of the 1,970,460 scaffolds in the reduced assembly reference containing scaffolds longer than 1 kb (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online).

### Population Structure

In order to account for effects arising from population structure, we performed a PCA on the kinship matrix calculated based on all SNPs from 34 individuals (Pab034 was removed because it was found to be highly related with Pab033) (fig. 1B and C). Individual Pab001, which is an individual from the sister species to *P. abies*, *P. obovata*, was completely separated from all samples of *P. abies* (fig. 1B), although previous research have suggested that the two species are genetically quite similar (Krutovskii and Bergmann 1995) and have historically hybridized across parts of their range (Tsuda et al. 2016). We have used the *P. obovata* individual as an outgroup in all downstream analyses in this study where the analyses require polarization of variants into ancestral and derived states. After removing the *P. obovata* individual, the PCA result clearly reflects geographic structure within *P. abies*, with the top two principle components (PC1–PC2) explaining 6.2% and 4.7% of the total variation in the SNP data, respectively (fig. 1C). However, there is no real decline in the variation explained from PC3 to PC10 (data not shown), indicating there is more subtle structure that is not accounted for solely by PC1 and PC2. The structure captured through PC1 and PC2 is, however, largely consistent with other studies in *P. abies* (e.g., Chen et al. 2019). The individuals of *P. abies* clustered into four groups, consistent with the geographic origin of the samples (fig. 1C and supplementary fig. S1 and table S1, Supplementary Material online): Samples from Belarus, Poland, and Romania grouped into one cluster, most Finish samples clustered into one group, most individuals from Norway and northern Sweden clustered into one group. Two individuals, one from southern Finland (Pab015) and one from southern Sweden (Pab002), could not be grouped within any of the populations as they fell between the three main groups in the PCA plot, indicating that they represent materials that



**FIG. 1.**—Population structure and demographic history of *Picea abies*. (A) The dendrogram was built based on Ward's hierarchical clustering, using a pairwise relatedness matrix for all 34 individuals. The colored branches and gray rectangles indicated the different clusters. (B) PCA plots based on the kinship matrix calculated from all SNPs among 34 individuals, including the outgroup species *Picea obovata* (Pab001). (C) PCA plots without the outgroup species. (D) A schematic diagram depicting the best-fitting model for the three main populations in the data (31 individuals) inferred by fastsimcoal2 with the 95% CI for the estimates of effective population size and event times, assuming a mutation rate  $\mu = 8.0 \times 10^{-10}$  per base per year and a generation time  $g = 25$  years. The ancestral population is depicted in gray, and the Central-Europe, Finland, and Sweden-Norway population is depicted using dark red, dark blue, and dark green, respectively. The inferred demographic parameters are described in the text and shown in [supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online](#).

have recently been introduced from elsewhere in Europe. The population structure inferred using the PCA was further supported by a dendrogram constructed using Ward's hierarchical clustering methods on the pairwise relatedness matrix. Again, individual Pab001 (*P. obovata*) fell outside all *P. abies* individuals and the dendrogram showed that the remaining *P. abies* samples clustered into four groups corresponding to

the same groupings inferred in the PCA (fig. 1A). In the dendrogram, the Sweden-Norway group clustered together with northern Finish group, whereas the Central-Europe group were closely associated with the two individuals derived from southern Sweden and Finland (fig. 1A). Both the results of the PCA and the dendrogram thus suggest a potential population history within Norway spruce where individuals

**Table 1**Pairwise Nucleotide Diversity ( $\pi$ : Mean  $\pm$  SD) for Different Classes of Functional Sites for Three Populations of Norway Spruce

Functional Sites	Populations		
	Central-Europe	Finland	Sweden-Norway
Synonymous sites (4-fold)	0.00387 $\pm$ 0.00620	0.00467 $\pm$ 0.00713	0.00452 $\pm$ 0.00614
Nonsynonymous sites (0-fold)	0.00301 $\pm$ 0.00434	0.00364 $\pm$ 0.00513	0.00359 $\pm$ 0.00467
Intronic sites	0.00396 $\pm$ 0.00398	0.00472 $\pm$ 0.00448	0.00463 $\pm$ 0.00403
Intergenic sites	0.00495 $\pm$ 0.00463	0.00632 $\pm$ 0.00564	0.00626 $\pm$ 0.00517
All sites	0.00494 $\pm$ 0.00462	0.00631 $\pm$ 0.00564	0.00625 $\pm$ 0.00516

from Central-Europe first split from common ancestor, followed by the divergence between Sweden-Norway and Finland.

The location of samples from southern Sweden and southern Finland in the PCA and the dendrogram relationship suggest that these trees likely have been imported from Central-Europe sometime during the 20th century (Chen et al. 2019). We therefore removed these individuals (Pab015 and Pab002) from further analyses. The remaining samples were clustered into three populations corresponding to the groupings seen in the PCA and dendrogram and are hereafter referred to as "Central-Europe," "Finland," and "Sweden-Norway." Earlier research have shown that *P. abies* is subdivided into three main domains, the Alpine domain, the Carpathian domain, and the Fennoscandian (Baltico–Nordic) domain (Heuertz et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2019). Our population structure analyses suggest that our Central-Europe population is likely composed of individuals derived from the Carpathian and/or Alpine domains. We also observe substructuring (Finland and Sweden-Norway) within the Fennoscandian (Baltico–Nordic) domain that could represent either population structure within the Fennoscandian domain or effects of ongoing hybridization with *P. obovata* that is more apparent in the eastern (Finland) population (Tsuda et al 2016).

### Low Level of Genome-Wide Nucleotide Diversity in Norway Spruce

Pairwise nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) across the *P. abies* genome was relatively low (table 1). Based on all sites, the Finland population harbored the highest nucleotide diversity with  $0.00631 \pm 0.00564$  (mean  $\pm$  SD), followed by Sweden-Norway ( $0.00625 \pm 0.00516$ ) with Central-Europe population showing the lowest pairwise nucleotide diversity ( $0.00494 \pm 0.00462$ ) (table 1). We observed the same pattern across populations when analyzing different functional categories of sites separately. One explanation for the higher diversity seen in the Finland and Sweden-Norway populations could be recent material transfers that were introduced for reforestation during the 19th and early 20th century (Chen et al. 2019). Although we removed samples from southern Finland and southern Sweden from our analysis that were likely influenced by materials transfer, there are still

possibilities for recent admixture and hybridization between northern and southern individuals given the outbreeding mating system and high levels of wind-dispersed pollen in *P. abies*. Another possible explanation for the higher diversity seen in Finland could also be recent admixture with *P. obovata* (Tsuda et al. 2016). Using nuclear SSR and mitochondrial DNA from 102 and 88 populations, Tsuda et al. (2016) revealed a demographic history where *P. abies* and *P. obovata* have frequently interacted and where migrants originating from the Urals and the West Siberian Plain recolonized northern Russia and Scandinavia using scattered refugial populations of Norway spruce as stepping stones toward the west. This scenario is further supported by exome capture sequencing data which suggest that  $\sim 17\%$  of *P. abies* in the Nordic domain were derived from *P. obovata* about  $\sim 103\text{K}$  years ago (Chen et al. 2019).

For the various genomic contexts, we found that levels of nucleotide diversity were highest at intergenic sites, followed by introns, 4-fold synonymous sites with lowest levels of diversity seen at 0-fold nonsynonymous sites (table 1). As every possible mutation at 4-fold degenerate sites is synonymous, 4-fold synonymous sites are often assumed to be neutral or nearly neutral (Andolfatto 2005; Filatov 2019) and the lower diversity we observe at nonsynonymous sites is thus consistent with purifying selection eliminating deleterious mutations that affect protein-coding genes in *P. abies*. Earlier research have also suggested pervasive purifying selection in *P. abies* by showing lower frequency of differences at nonsynonymous SNPs relative to silent sites based on pooled population sequencing (Chen et al. 2016). In contrast to variants located in genes, intergenic regions had substantially higher levels of nucleotide diversity in all three populations, which may reflect either relaxed selective constraints or possibly higher mutation rates in these regions. Another possible explanation is that read mapping errors are higher in intergenic regions because these regions contain a greater fraction of repetitive sequences. We have tried to filter away such regions (see Materials and Methods) and we do not observe a strong correlation between intergenic diversity and repeat density (supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online). However, we cannot be entirely sure that we have successfully eliminated all such regions. Higher nucleotide diversities in intergenic regions have been observed also in the chloroplast genome

of *P. abies* (Sullivan et al. 2017), as well as in the nuclear genome of other plants, such as *Populus* (Wang et al. 2016), or animals (collared flycatchers, Dutoit et al. 2017).

Earlier studies of nucleotide diversity in *P. abies* have mainly targeted coding regions. For instance, Heuertz et al. (2006) found a mean nucleotide diversity of 0.0021 across all sites based on data from 22 loci analyzed in 47 Norway spruce samples collected from 7 natural populations. Larsson et al. (2013) found a mean nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) of 0.0047 (SD=0.0034) based on 11 loci screened in 10 natural *P. abies* populations from across Scandinavia. More recently, Chen et al. (2019) used exome capture data (covering about 4.8 Mb of genomic sequences, Vidalis et al. 2018) in *P. abies* to show that nucleotide diversity at 4-fold synonymous sites ranged from 0.0072 to 0.0079 in the three domains, whereas 0-fold nucleotide diversity ranged from 0.0027 to 0.0032. Our results, based on whole-genome data, produce slightly different estimates of nucleotide diversity but are of the same order of magnitude as earlier estimates. The reasons for the slight discrepancies between the different studies are likely explained by differences in sampling design and sequencing strategies.

Compared with other species, estimates of nucleotide diversity in Norway spruce (0.0049–0.0063) are slightly higher than that in the predominantly autogamous legume *Medicago truncatula* ( $\pi$ =0.0043, Branca et al. 2011), but lower than in maize ( $\pi$ =0.0066, *Zea mays* L.) (Gore et al. 2009), wild rice ( $\pi$ =0.0077, *Oryza rufipogon* and *Oryza nivara*) (Xu et al. 2012), and 3- to 5-fold lower than estimates in *Populus* ( $\pi$ =0.0133, *Populus tremula*;  $\pi$ =0.0144, *P. tremuloides*) (Wang et al. 2016). Our results thus indicate that Norway spruce is characterized by a relatively low level of nucleotide diversity, especially when factoring in the extensive distribution range of the species. Norway spruce (*P. abies*) is one of the dominant tree species in the boreal and temperate zones of Europe, with a wide geographical distribution. *Picea abies* has a longitudinal range ranging from the French Alps (5°27'E) to the Ural mountains (59°E), a latitudinal range from the southern Alps to Northern Scandinavia (70°N) and an altitudinal range from sea level to well above 2,300 m in the Italian Alps (Jansson et al. 2013). *Picea abies* has also been planted widely outside its natural range and currently plays a major role in European forestry. Our results thus illustrate a disparity between the extensive geographic distribution of Norway spruce and an overall low level of genome-wide genetic diversity.

### Divergence and Population Fluctuations during the Demographic History of *P. abies*

One possible explanation for the low levels of genetic diversity seen in Norway spruce is demographic history. If *P. abies* has experienced sharp reductions in the effective population size due to environmental events, for example, climate change, in

the past and have only recently become abundant again, observed levels of genetic diversity would be substantially lower than expected based on current distribution and population sizes.

To assess whether demographic factors are responsible for the low genetic diversity seen in *P. abies*, we inferred the demographic history for all populations (using 31 individuals) using the joint SFS based on all SNPs using coalescent simulations implemented in fastsimcoal2 (Excoffier et al. 2013). A mutation rate of  $8.0 \times 10^{-10}$  per site per year and a generation time of 25 years (De La Torre et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019) were assumed to calculate the parameter estimates of the divergence times and effective population sizes, and their associated 95% CIs based on 100 parametric bootstraps. We evaluated eight demographic models that consider a range of scenarios for effective population size changes (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). The best-fitting model was model “Pop012-Bot” which was chosen based on Akaike’s weight of evidence  $\approx 1$  (supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). In this model, a common ancestral population experience a population contraction followed by the split of the Central-Europe population, followed by the divergence of the Sweden-Norway and Finland populations. All three subpopulations subsequently experience bottlenecks that lasted until  $\sim 28.5$  ka (1.65–103.1 ka) (fig. 1D and supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). The ancestral effective population size of *P. abies* was much larger than current-day populations, with an estimated effective population size of 76,515–457,249 (95% CI). This population went through a sharp bottleneck, reducing the effective size to 2,216–24,608  $\sim 45.6$  Ma (12.7–62.7 Ma). This bottleneck may reflect the influence from the Paleogene, when the global climate showed a significant cooling trend in the beginning of the Oligocene epoch (Vandenberghe et al. 2012). The divergence time for the Central-Europe population is estimated to have occurred about 114.4 ka (111.2–119.8 ka), whereas the divergence between the Finnish and Swedish-Norwegian population is more recent, dated to around  $\sim 32.7$  ka (28.7–44.2 ka). All three populations went through subsequent bottlenecks ( $N_e$  in Central-Europe  $\sim 209$ –5,011;  $N_e$  in Sweden-Norway  $\sim 1,429$ –44,282;  $N_e$  in Finland  $\sim 179$ –41,190), which timing roughly corresponds to when several abrupt changes in temperature took place during the quaternary, including the last glacial maximum.

The inferred demographic history for the Central-Europe population, which appears to have experienced a longer period of the most recent bottleneck, coincides with this population also having the lowest levels of nucleotide diversity. Mountain regions are known to be habitats suitable for species survival during drastic climate fluctuations because they allow species to respond to climatic change by moving along a altitudinal gradient and such movements are further expected to promote population divergence on a regional scale



(Tollefsrud et al. 2008). Southern areas in Europe, such as the Alps and Carpathians, as well as the mountains adjacent to the northern Scandinavian coastline have already been identified as likely refuges for many species during the last glacial age (Dahl 1955; Tollefsrud et al. 2008; Parducci et al. 2012; Arukwe and Langeland 2013), lending credibility to the patterns of population divergence and size changes we observed in our data. Recent bottlenecks for different populations of *P. abies* have also been identified in earlier studies (Heuertz et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2019). Another reason that may help to explain the low levels of nucleotide diversity we observe in *P. abies* is that most regions were likely already forested before the postglacial arrival of *P. abies*, making colonizing populations more prone to genetic drift induced by founder events or subsequent bottlenecks that act to reduce genetic diversity (Tollefsrud et al. 2008). Moreover, human-mediated selection and use of limited seed sources for reforestation may also have played a role in the low levels of nucleotide diversity in Norway spruce, but the extent of such processes is unclear and is worthy of further study. The demographic history of *P. abies* is thus an important factor explaining the low levels of nucleotide diversity observed. However, the limited sampling scheme employed in this study, together with the uncertain estimates of mutation rates and generation times, suggests that the exact timing and size of population changes should be treated with a healthy amount of skepticism.

### Pervasive Molecular Signal of Natural Selection by Linkage


Genetic hitchhiking is the process by which an allele experiences a change in frequency because it is physically located in the vicinity of another allele that is under positive selection. Such selective sweeps will consequently reduce the level of diversity not only at the selected locus but also at nearby sites (Smith and Haigh 1974). Similarly, background selection also removes genetic diversity at sites linked to deleterious mutations that are under purifying selection in a population (Charlesworth et al. 1995). Therefore, natural selection via either positive selection favoring advantage mutations (“hitchhiking”) or purifying selection against deleterious mutations (“background selection”) is expected to also affect standing levels of genetic diversity in a species (Corbett-Detig et al. 2015).

If natural selection is widespread across the genome, it can lead to a reduction in neutral genetic diversity in regions of low recombination (Begun and Aquadro 1992) because sites in such regions are expected to experience stronger effects of linked selection. Such patterns have already been observed across a wide range of animals and plants and have been used to support the view that linked selection is a powerful force affecting genome-wide level of genetic diversity in many species (Corbett-Detig et al. 2015). In accordance with the expectations from linked selection, we observe a positive correlation between nucleotide diversity at 4-fold synonymous


sites and an linkage disequilibrium (LD) based estimate of the population-scaled recombination rate in all three populations. A simple linear regression model further showed that variation in recombination rate explained 2.6–3.4% of the genome-wide levels of variation at neutral 4-fold synonymous sites in the three populations (fig. 2). We observed the weakest association between neutral 4-fold diversity and recombination rate in the Central-Europe population, likely reflecting the more severe and extended bottleneck seen in this population (fig. 1D). When we analyze correlations between recombination rates and nucleotide diversity at 0-fold nonsynonymous sites, sites located in introns or in intergenic regions, we also observe positive correlations. Across all genomic contexts, the strongest correlation between diversity and recombination rate was observed at intergenic sites, ranging from 0.419 to 0.601 in the three populations (fig. 2). In line with this, a relatively large fraction (22.2–26.0%) of the variation in genetic diversity can be explained by variation in the recombination rate at intergenic sites (fig. 2). The higher levels of nucleotide diversity we observe in intergenic regions can at least partly explain this, as the power to detect any relationship between diversity and recombination rate is likely also higher in these regions (table 1).

If the positive relationship between nucleotide diversity and recombination rate was merely caused by the mutagenic effect of recombination, similar patterns should also be observed between divergence and recombination rate (Kulathinal et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2016). We therefore also assessed correlations between divergence and recombination rate at the different classes of sites (4-fold, 0-fold, introns, and intergenic sites) and the results show no correlations (linear regression  $r^2 < 1\%$ , fig. 3). The positive correlation we observe between nucleotide diversity and recombination rate is consequently driven by linked selection rather than through mutagenic recombination.


Levels of nucleotide variation is expected to be proportional to the density of selected sites per genetic map unit (Flowers et al. 2012), because regions with a higher density of mutations under selection will experience stronger effects of linked selection. If genic regions represent the most likely targets of natural selection, we consequently expected to see a negative association between the density of genic sites and levels of nucleotide diversity. This observation may help explain the negative correlation between polymorphism and gene density seen in many plant species, for example, *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Nordborg et al. 2005), Asian rice (*Oryza sativa* ssp. *Japonica*; ssp. *indica*; *O. rufipogon*) (Flowers et al. 2012) or insects (e.g., *Heliconius melpomene*, Martin et al. 2016). Gene density is normally scored as the number of protein-coding genes in a region of fixed size along a chromosome. However, due to the fragmented nature of the *P. abies* genome, we are unable to assess gene density in this manner. Instead, to assess the effects of the density of putative sites under selection, we measured the density of genic sites on a per scaffold basis,



**FIG. 2.**—Scatterplots of 4-fold, 0-fold, intronic, and intergenic nucleotide diversity versus the population-scaled recombination rates across the *Picea abies* genome for Central-Europe (dark red), Finland (dark blue), and Sweden-Norway (dark green). The Spearman correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) and linear regression ( $r^2$ ) are shown in the top right of each plot. Best-fitting regression lines are depicted in gray.



**FIG. 3.**—Scatterplots of 4-fold, 0-fold, intronic, and intergenic genetic divergence versus the population-scaled recombination rates across the *Picea abies* genome for Central-Europe (dark red), Finland (dark blue), and Sweden-Norway (dark green). The Spearman correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) and linear regression ( $r^2$ ) are shown in the top right of each plot. Best-fitting regression lines are depicted in gray.



**Fig. 4.**—Scatterplots of 4-fold and 0-fold genetic diversity versus gene density for Central-Europe (dark red), Finland (dark blue), and Sweden-Norway (dark green). The Spearman correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) and linear regression ( $r^2$ ) are shown in the top right of each plot. Best-fitting regression lines are depicted in gray.

estimated as the number of base pairs that were annotated as coding over the length of the scaffold. We observed a negative association between our measure of coding density and nucleotide diversity both at 0-fold nonsynonymous, 4-fold synonymous, and intergenic sites (fig. 4 and supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). We found no correlation between gene density and diversity at intronic sites and the impact of gene density on diversity in introns was negligible (<1%) (supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). The strongest correlation we observed was between gene density and diversity of 0-fold sites, possibly reflecting that nonsynonymous substitutions are under stronger selection. However, spurious results can be generated when two explanatory variables covary but are analyzed separately, for example, a negative covariation of recombination rate and gene density will strengthen the signatures of linked selection across the genome (Flowers et al. 2012; Ellegren and Galtier 2016). We therefore also assessed the correlation between recombination rate and gene density. We found no correlation between gene density and recombination rates in Central-Europe or Finland population (linear regression  $r^2 < 1\%$ ) (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online).

However, we detect a significantly negative correlation in the Sweden-Norway population (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online), which could help explain why we also observed the strongest negative correlation between functional diversity and gene density in this population. We did not observe correlations (supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material online; linear regression  $r^2 < 1\%$ , data not shown) between nucleotide diversity and GC density or repeat density, measured as the fraction of sites per scaffold that are annotated as repeats.

Overall, our results suggest that widespread natural selection contributes to variation in the genome-wide levels of nucleotide diversity in *P. abies*. Similar effects have been found in a wide variety of species, for example, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Begun and Aquadro 1992), humans (Hellmann et al. 2003), *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Cutter and Payseur 2003), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Cutter and Moses 2011), *Populus* (Wang et al. 2016), and *Emiliania huxleyi* (Filatov 2019). We identified positive correlations between diversity and recombination rate for both genic region and intergenic region in all three populations, but no correlations between divergence and recombination rate, indicating



pervasive effects of linked selection across the *P. abies* genome. Purifying selection against deleterious mutations in regions of higher gene density is more likely to account for the negative relationship between gene density and neutral genetic diversity, and the magnitude of such effects depends on the strength of purifying selection (Sella et al. 2009). The weak negative correlations we observed between nucleotide diversity (0-fold, 4-fold, and intergenic region) and gene density suggest that background selection may be limited in *P. abies*.

Finally, natural selection is expected to favor lower mutation rates because most spontaneous mutations are deleterious, and thus species with larger population sizes tend to have lower mutation rates because larger populations experience stronger effects of natural selection (Lynch et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2019). Therefore, a low mutation rate could at least partly explain low levels of nucleotide diversity in species with extremely large census population sizes. For instance, Xu et al. (2019) used resequencing from 68 samples spanning the global distribution of giant duckweed (*Spirodela polyrhiza*) to show that this species has extremely low intraspecific genetic diversity (e.g.,  $\pi_{\text{synonymous}} = 0.00093$ ) despite achieving extremely high census population sizes in nature due to high rates of clonal growth. They also estimated a very low spontaneous mutation rate (at least seven times lower than estimates in other multicellular eukaryotes). This is an example of a species showing a low level of genetic diversity despite having a wide current natural distribution and where selection may have reduced mutation rates to very low levels. By carrying out the first comparative genomic study of substitution rates and mutational patterns between North American conifer species (*Picea sitchensis* and *Pinus taeda*) and angiosperm species (*Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Populus trichocarpa*), Buschiazzi et al. (2012) found evidence for significantly slower evolutionary rates in conifers. By comparing orthologous protein-coding genes between gymnosperms and angiosperms, they proposed that a reduced mutation rate in large and long-lived conifer trees, coupled with large effective population size, was the main factor leading to slow substitution rates but retention of beneficial mutations (Buschiazzi et al. 2012). Furthermore, De La Torre et al. (2017) showed that the mutation rate of gymnosperms is, on average, seven times lower than that in angiosperms, based on data from a set of 42 orthologous, single-copy genes. A low mutation rate might thus also help explain the low levels of nucleotide diversity that is observed across most conifers (see Introduction).

## Conclusion

Observations have shown that the range of effective population sizes across species exceeds the observed range of

genetic diversity by several orders of magnitude (Lewontin 1974; Leffler et al. 2012) and this long-standing issue is now known as Lewontin's paradox (Lewontin 1974). Norway spruce has a wide natural geographic distribution across the northern hemisphere (Farjon 1990; Jansson et al. 2013) and is often the dominant tree species in many boreal forests. Previous genomic studies have suggested a relatively low level of nucleotide diversity (e.g., 0.0021 in Heuertz et al. [2006] and 0.0047 in Larsson et al. [2013]) compared with many angiosperm species with similar distribution ranges. Our analyses of nucleotide diversity in *P. abies*, using whole-genome resequencing data, support the view that genome-wide levels of nucleotide diversity are low and of the same order of magnitude as seen in earlier studies, even if these have been based almost exclusively on a limited set of short genomic fragments from predominantly coding regions.

We have focused on identifying potential factors that can help explain the low levels of genetic diversity seen in *P. abies*. Population structure in our sample is characterized by three relatively well-defined clusters that closely correspond to the geographic origin of samples from Central-Europe, Finland, and Sweden/Norway. The demographic history for all three populations involves an ancient bottleneck in an ancestral population as well as more recent bottlenecks that coincide with the last glacial maximum. Population size has only recently recovered, suggesting that reduction in effective population size throughout the history of the species is an important contributor to the low intraspecific diversity.

We also observe a positive correlation between nucleotide diversity and recombination rate across both genic and intergenic regions, suggesting widespread action of linked selection across the *P. abies* genome and such linked selection can also contribute to the species-wide loss of genetic diversity. A weak, but significant negative correlation between nucleotide diversity (at 0-fold, 4-fold, and intergenic sites) and gene density is also observed, which could be interpreted as background selection only having small effects in Norway spruce. Variation in linked selection across the *P. abies* genome is thus also a likely contributing factor explaining the low genetic diversity in the species. Finally, the unusually low mutation rate, common to most conifers, is yet another factor that contributes to the low species-wide diversity in *P. abies*.

Our results provide the first insights into whole-genome levels of variation in a conifer species. This allows us to also assess patterns of variation with a substantially higher resolution than earlier studies and lays the foundation for more accurate population genomic inferences in *P. abies*. Our results suggest that both past demographic events as well as pervasive effects of linked selection have important influences on genome-wide levels of diversity, highlighting the

need for analysis methods that allow for the joint estimation of demography and selection from genome-wide data so the relative importance of demography and linked selection for explaining patterns of genome-wide variation in genetic diversity in *P. abies* can be assessed.

## Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at *Genome Biology and Evolution* online.

## Acknowledgments

The research has been funded by grants from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (Norway spruce genome project) and the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF, Grant No. RBP14-0040). Data generation was supported by Science for Life Laboratory and the National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI) which provided access to massive parallel sequencing. All analyses were performed on resources provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at Uppsala Multidisciplinary Centre for Advanced Computational Science (UPPMAX) under the projects b2012141, SNIC 2017/1-438, SNIC 2018/3-529, and uppstore2017066. X.W. was supported by a scholarship from the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC).

## Literature Cited

- Acosta JJ, et al. 2019. Exome resequencing reveals evolutionary history, genomic diversity, and targets of selection in the conifers *Pinus taeda* and *Pinus elliottii*. *Genome Biol Evol.* 11(2):508–520.
- Andolfatto P. 2005. Adaptive evolution of non-coding DNA in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 437(7062):1149–1152.
- Arukwe A, Langeland A. 2013. Mitochondrial DNA inference between European populations of *Tanyastix stagnalis* and their glacial survival in Scandinavia. *Ecol Evol.* 3(11):3868–3878.
- Begun DJ, Aquadro CF. 1992. Levels of naturally occurring DNA polymorphism correlate with recombination rates in *D. melanogaster*. *Nature* 356(6369):519–520.
- Begun DJ, et al. 2007. Population genomics: whole-genome analysis of polymorphism and divergence in *Drosophila simulans*. *PLoS Biol.* 5(11):e310.
- Bernhardsson C, Wang X, Klöf H, Ingvarsson PK. 2020. Variant calling using whole genome resequencing and sequence capture for population and evolutionary genomic inferences in Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). In: Porth I, de la Torre A, editors. *The spruce genome*. Compendium of plant genomes. Basel, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Bernhardsson C, et al. 2019. An ultra-dense haploid genetic map for evaluating the highly fragmented genome assembly of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). *G3 (Bethesda)* 9:1623–1632.
- Branca A, et al. 2011. Whole-genome nucleotide diversity, recombination, and linkage disequilibrium in the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 108:864–870.
- Buschiazio E, Ritland C, Bohlmann J, Ritland K. 2012. Slow but not low: genomic comparisons reveal slower evolutionary rate and higher dN/dS in conifers compared to angiosperms. *BMC Evol Biol.* 12(1):8.
- Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B, Morgan MT. 1995. The pattern of neutral molecular variation under the background selection model. *Genetics* 141(4):1619–1632.
- Chen J, et al. 2016. Identifying genetic signatures of natural selection using pooled population sequencing in *Picea abies*. *G3 (Bethesda)* 6:1979–1989.
- Chen J, et al. 2019. Genomic data provides new insights on the demographic history and the extent of recent material transfers in Norway spruce. *Evol Appl.* 12(8):1539–1551.
- Corbett-Detig RB, Hartl DL, Sackton TB. 2015. Natural selection constrains neutral diversity across a wide range of species. *PLoS Biol.* 13(4):e1002112.
- Cutter AD, Moses AM. 2011. Polymorphism, divergence, and the role of recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome evolution. *Mol Biol Evol.* 28(5):1745–1754.
- Cutter AD, Payseur BA. 2003. Selection at linked sites in the partial selfer *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Mol Biol Evol.* 20(5):665–673.
- Cutter AD, Payseur BA. 2013. Genomic signatures of selection at linked sites: unifying the disparity among species. *Nat Rev Genet.* 14(4):262–274.
- Dahl E. 1955. Biogeographic and geologic indications of unglaciated areas in Scandinavia during the glacial ages. *Geol Soc Am Bull.* 66(12):1499–1520.
- Danecek P, et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27(15):2156–2158.
- De La Torre AR, Li Z, Van de Peer Y, Ingvarsson PK. 2017. Contrasting rates of molecular evolution and patterns of selection among gymnosperms and flowering plants. *Mol Biol Evol.* 34(6):1363–1377.
- De La Torre AR, et al. 2014. Insights into conifer giga-genomes. *Plant Physiol.* 166(4):1724–1732.
- DePristo MA, et al. 2011. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet.* 43(5):491–498.
- Dutoit L, Burri R, Nater A, Mugal CF, Ellegren H. 2017. Genomic distribution and estimation of nucleotide diversity in natural populations: perspectives from the collared flycatcher (*Ficedula albicollis*) genome. *Mol Ecol Resour.* 17(4):586–597.
- Edea Z, et al. 2015. Genome-wide genetic diversity, population structure and admixture analysis in African and Asian cattle breeds. *Animal* 9(2):218–226.
- Ellegren H, Galtier N. 2016. Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nat Rev Genet.* 17(7):422–433.
- Excoffier L, Dupanloup I, Huerta-Sánchez E, Sousa VC, Foll M. 2013. Robust demographic inference from genomic and SNP data. *PLoS Genet.* 9(10):e1003905.
- Farjon A. 1990. Pinaceae. Drawings and descriptions of the genera Abies, Cedrus, Pseudolarix, Keteleeria, Nothotsuga, Tsuga, Cathaya, Pseudotsuga, Larix and Picea. Königstein (Germany): Koeltz Scientific Books.
- Filatov DA. 2019. Extreme Lewontin's paradox in ubiquitous marine phytoplankton species. *Mol Biol Evol.* 36(1):4–14.
- Flowers JM, et al. 2012. Natural selection in gene-dense regions shapes the genomic pattern of polymorphism in wild and domesticated rice. *Mol Biol Evol.* 29(2):675–687.
- Forcada J, Hoffman JI. 2014. Climate change selects for heterozygosity in a declining fur seal population. *Nature* 511(7510):462–465.
- Gore MA, et al. 2009. A first-generation haplotype map of maize. *Science* 326(5956):1115–1117.
- Hellmann I, Ebersberger I, Ptak SE, Pääbo S, Przeworski M. 2003. A neutral explanation for the correlation of diversity with recombination rates in humans. *Am J Hum Genet.* 72(6):1527–1535.
- Heuertz M, et al. 2006. Multilocus patterns of nucleotide diversity, linkage disequilibrium and demographic history of Norway spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) Karst]. *Genetics* 174(4):2095–2105.

- Jangjoo M, Matter SF, Roland J, Keyghobadi N. 2016. Connectivity rescues genetic diversity after a demographic bottleneck in a butterfly population network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 113(39):10914–10919.
- Jansson G, et al. 2013. Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) H. Karst.). In: Pâques LE, editor. *Forest tree breeding in Europe*. Dordrecht (the Netherlands): Springer. p. 123–176.
- Khodadadi M, Fotokian MH, Miransari M. 2011. Genetic diversity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes based on cluster and principal component analyses for breeding strategies. *Aust J Crop Sci*. 5:17.
- Kim SY, et al. 2011. Estimation of allele frequency and association mapping using next-generation sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12(1):231.
- Kimura M. 1983. *The neutral theory of molecular evolution*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Korneliussen TS, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R. 2014. ANGSD: analysis of next generation sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 15(1):356.
- Krutovskii KV, Bergmann F. 1995. Introgressive hybridization and phylogenetic relationships between Norway, *Picea abies* (L.) Karst., and Siberian, *P. obovata* Ledeb., spruce species studied by isozyme loci. *Heredity* 74(5):464–480.
- Kulathinal RJ, Bennett SM, Fitzpatrick CL, Noor MA. 2008. Fine-scale mapping of recombination rate in *Drosophila* refines its correlation to diversity and divergence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 105(29):10051–10056.
- Larsson H, Källman T, Gyllenstrand N, Lascoux M. 2013. Distribution of long-range linkage disequilibrium and Tajima's *D* values in Scandinavian populations of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). *G3 (Bethesda)* 3:795–806.
- Leffler EM, et al. 2012. Revisiting an old riddle: what determines genetic diversity levels within species? *PLoS Biol*. 10(9):e1001388.
- Leite YL, et al. 2016. Neotropical forest expansion during the last glacial period challenges refuge hypothesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 113(4):1008–1013.
- Lewontin RC. 1974. *The genetic basis of evolutionary change*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. Preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997>.
- Li H, et al. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25(16):2078–2079.
- Liu A, Burke JM. 2006. Patterns of nucleotide diversity in wild and cultivated sunflower. *Genetics* 173(1):321–330.
- Lynch M, et al. 2016. Genetic drift, selection and the evolution of the mutation rate. *Nat Rev Genet*. 17(11):704–714.
- Manichaikul A, et al. 2010. Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics* 26(22):2867–2873.
- Martin SH, et al. 2016. Natural selection and genetic diversity in the butterfly *Heliconius melpomene*. *Genetics* 203(1):525–541.
- McVean GA, et al. 2004. The fine-scale structure of recombination rate variation in the human genome. *Science* 304(5670):581–584.
- Mielczarek M, Szyda J. 2016. Review of alignment and SNP calling algorithms for next-generation sequencing data. *J Appl Genet*. 57(1):71–79.
- Mosca E, et al. 2012. Contrasting patterns of nucleotide diversity for four conifers of Alpine European forests. *Evol Appl*. 5(7):762–775.
- Murtagh F, Legendre P. 2014. Ward's hierarchical clustering method: Clustering criterion and agglomerative algorithm. *J Classif*. 31(3):274–295.
- Nielsen R, Korneliussen T, Albrechtsen A, Li Y, Wang J. 2012. SNP calling, genotype calling, and sample allele frequency estimation from next-generation sequencing data. *PLoS One* 7(7):e37558.
- Nordborg M, et al. 2005. The pattern of polymorphism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS Biol*. 3(7):e196.
- Nystedt B, et al. 2013. The Norway spruce genome sequence and conifer genome evolution. *Nature* 497(7451):579–584.
- Parducci L, et al. 2012. Glacial survival of boreal trees in northern Scandinavia. *Science* 335(6072):1083–1086.
- Pyhäjärvi T, et al. 2007. Demographic history has influenced nucleotide diversity in European *Pinus sylvestris* populations. *Genetics* 177(3):1713–1724.
- Qiu Q, et al. 2015. Yak whole-genome resequencing reveals domestication signatures and prehistoric population expansions. *Nat Commun*. 6(1):10283.
- Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26(6):841–842.
- R Core Team. 2017. *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available from: <https://www.R-project.org/>
- Sella G, Petrov DA, Przeworski M, Andolfatto P. 2009. Pervasive natural selection in the *Drosophila* genome? *PLoS Genet*. 5(6):e1000495.
- Smith JM, Haigh J. 1974. The hitch-hiking effect of a favourable gene. *Genet Res*. 23(1):23–35.
- Sullivan AR, Schiffthaler B, Thompson SL, Street NR, Wang XR. 2017. Interspecific plastome recombination reflects ancient reticulate evolution in *Picea* (Pinaceae). *Mol Biol Evol*. 34(7):1689–1701.
- Tajima F. 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* 123(3):585–595.
- Tollefsrud MM, et al. 2008. Genetic consequences of glacial survival and postglacial colonization in Norway spruce: combined analysis of mitochondrial DNA and fossil pollen. *Mol Ecol*. 17(18):4134–4150.
- Tsuda Y, et al. 2016. The extent and meaning of hybridization and introgression between Siberian spruce (*Picea obovata*) and Norway spruce (*Picea abies*): cryptic refugia as stepping stones to the west? *Mol Ecol*. 25(12):2773–2789.
- Uchiyama K, et al. 2012. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in *Cryptomeria japonica*: their discovery and validation for genome mapping and diversity studies. *Tree Genet Genomes* 8(6):1213–1222.
- Vandenberghe N, Hilgen FJ, Speijer R. 2012. The Paleogene period. In: Gradstein FM, Ogg JG, Schmitz M, Ogg G, editors. *The geological timescale*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. p. 855–921.
- Vidalis A, et al. 2018. Design and evaluation of a large sequence-capture probe set and associated SNPs for diploid and haploid samples of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*). *BioRxiv*. 1:291716.
- Wang J, Street NR, Scofield DG, Ingvarsson PK. 2016. Natural selection and recombination rate variation shape nucleotide polymorphism across the genomes of three related *Populus* species. *Genetics* 202(3):1185–1200.
- Ward JH Jr. 1963. Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 58(301):236–244.
- Warr A, et al. 2015. Exome sequencing: current and future perspectives. *G3 (Bethesda)* 5:1543–1550.
- Xu S, et al. 2019. Low genetic variation is associated with low mutation rate in the giant duckweed. *Nat Commun*. 10(1):1243.
- Xu X, et al. 2012. Resequencing 50 accessions of cultivated and wild rice yields markers for identifying agronomically important genes. *Nat Biotechnol*. 30(1):105–111.
- Yan F, et al. 2013. Geological events play a larger role than Pleistocene climatic fluctuations in driving the genetic structure of *Quasipaa boulengeri* (Anura: Dicroglossidae). *Mol Ecol*. 22(4):1120–1133.
- Yang J, et al. 2010. Common SNPs explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height. *Nat Genet*. 42(7):565–569.
- Zhu Q, Zheng X, Luo J, Gaut BS, Ge S. 2007. Multilocus analysis of nucleotide variation of *Oryza sativa* and its wild relatives: severe bottleneck during domestication of rice. *Mol Biol Evol*. 24(3):875–888.

Associate editor: Shu-Miaw Chaw